		of Indiana Automotive, Inc., CTS Corporation, Doe Defendants 1 through 10, Toyota Motor Corporation. (Filing Fee $ 350.00, Receipt Number 894009)Document filed by Barbara Iglesias(Individually), Barbara Iglesias(on behalf of all others similarly situated).(ama) (Entered: 02/11/2010)
02/08/2010		SUMMONS ISSUED as to Toyota Motor Sales, USA., Inc., Toyota Motor North America, Inc., Toyota Motor Engineering &Manufacturing North America, Inc., Lexus Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Indiana, Inc., Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Texas, Inc., Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Kentucky, Inc., New United Motor Manufacturing, Inc., Subaru of Indiana Automotive, Inc., CTS Corporation, Doe Defendants 1 through 10, Toyota Motor Corporation. (ama) (Entered: 02/11/2010)
02/08/2010		Magistrate Judge Andrew J. Peck is so designated. (ama) (Entered: 02/11/2010)
02/08/2010		Case Designated ECF. (ama) (Entered: 02/11/2010)
02/19/2010	2	ORDER INITIAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE: Initial Conference set for 4/30/2010 at 12:30 PM in Courtroom 12C, 500 Pearl Street, New York, NY 10007 before Judge P. Kevin Castel. (Signed by Judge P. Kevin Castel on 2/19/2010) (jfe) (Entered: 02/19/2010)
02/26/2010	3	NOTICE OF APPEARANCE by Karl Geercken on behalf of Toyota Motor Sales, USA., Inc. (Geercken, Karl) (Entered: 02/26/2010)
02/26/2010	4	NOTICE OF APPEARANCE by Kristin Ann Meister on behalf of Toyota Motor Sales, USA., Inc. (Meister, Kristin) (Entered: 02/26/2010)
03/01/2010	5	RULE 7.1 CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT. No Corporate Parent. Document filed by Toyota Motor Sales, USA., Inc..(Meister, Kristin) (Entered: 03/01/2010)
03/01/2010	6	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO STAY PROCEEDING: 1) The parties have concurrently herewith agreed to stay this action in its entirety pending a ruling by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ("JPML") concerning the transfer of this action for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 USC § 1407 and such stay shall apply to all parties, whether signatories to this stipulation or not, there being no objection by any party to the stay. 2) Should the JPML determine that this action should be transferred to a consolidated Multidistrict Litigation ("MDL") proceeding and enter an Order transferring same, the parties consent and agree to adhere to the Scheduling Order entered in that proceeding, including deadlines established for Defendants to answer, or otherwise respond to any complaint in the MDL.3) Should the JPML determine that this action should not be transferred to aconsolidated MDL proceeding, the time for Defendants to answer, or otherwise respond to, Plaintiff's Complaint shall be not less than 60 days after the JPML's decision and Order not to transfer this action to an MDL proceeding. The parties further agree to confer, in good faith, regarding scheduling in this action and any coordination of discovery with any MDL that is established, to ensure efficiency and conservation of judicial resources. 4) No further proceedings in this matter shall take place until the Court issues a further Order lifting this stay or the matter is transferred by the Judicial Panel on Multi−District Litigation. April 30, 2010 conference is vacated. (Signed by Judge P. Kevin Castel on 3/1/10) (db) (Entered: 03/01/2010)